ı			
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	YURI MIKULKA, SBN 185926 (ymikulka@sycr.com) SARAH S. BROOKS, SBN 266292 (sbrooks@sycr.com) STRADLING YOCCA CARLSON & RAUTH 660 Newport Center Drive, Suite 1600 Newport Beach, CA 92660-6422 Telephone: (949) 725-4000 Facsimile: (949) 725-4100 Attorneys for Defendant and Counter-Claimant SPORT DIMENSION, INC. and KUR	O OF CALIFORNIA DEPUTY	
8	RIOS		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	WESTE	WESTERN DIVISION	
12	TECH-4-KIDS, INC.	CASE NO. 2:12-cv-06769-PA-AJW	
13	Plaintiff,	Honorable Percy Anderson	
14	vs.	DECLARATION OF SARAH S. BROOKS IN SUPPORT OF	
15	SPORT DIMENSION, INC. and KURT RIOS	DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR	
16	Defendants.	SUMMARY JUDGMENT	
17	Defendants.	Hearing Date: June 3, 2013	
18		Hearing Date: June 3, 2013 Time: 1:30 p.m. Courtroom: 15	
19			
20			
21	SPORT DIMENSION, INC.,	Initial Complaint Filed:	
22	Counter-Claimant,	Initial Complaint Filed: December 6, 2011	
23	VS.	First Amended Complaint Filed: August 17, 2012	
24	V 5.	Second Amended Complaint Filed:	
25	TECH-4-KIDS, Inc.,	March 4, 2013	
26	Counter-Defendant.	Trial: August 6, 2013	
27	[EXHIBITS FILED UNDER SEAL]		
28			
STRADLING YOCCA CARLSON & RAUTH LAWYERS	DECLARATION OF SARAH S. BROOKS		
LAWYERS Newport Beach	LITIOC/2071303v2/102566-0001		

LITIOC/2071303v2/102566-0001

I, Sarah S. Brooks, declare:

- 1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law before the courts of the State of California. I am an associate at Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth, attorneys of record for Defendants Sport Dimension Inc. ("Sport Dimension" or "Defendant"), and Kurt Rios ("Rios" and referred to collectively as "Defendants"). I submit this declaration in support of Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment. I have personal knowledge as to all matters set forth herein, and if called to testify as a witness, I could and would do so.
- 2. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy of certain pages excerpted from the transcript of the deposition of Brad Pedersen taken on February 27, 2013.
- 3. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2 is a true and correct copy of certain pages excerpted from the transcript of the deposition of T4K's North American sales manager, Evert Weenink, taken on March 21, 2013.
- 4. Attached hereto as Exhibit 3 is a true and correct copy of certain pages excerpted from the transcript of the deposition of T4K's independent sales representative, Gary Smick, taken on April 5, 2013.
- 5. Attached hereto as Exhibit 4 is a true and correct copy of certain pages excerpted from the transcript of the deposition of Sport Dimension's President, Kurt Rios, taken on March 21, 2013.
- 6. Attached hereto as Exhibit 5 is a true and correct copy of certain pages excerpted from the transcript of the deposition of Sport Dimension's Vice-President of Sales, Todd Richards, taken on February 20, 2013.
- 7. I am personally aware that the First Amended Complaint ("FAC") in this action was filed on August 17, 2012. I am personally aware that the Second Amended Complaint ("SAC") in this action was filed on March 4, 2013. At my direction and control, the word processing department of my firm converted the SAC and FAC, which were previously in .PDF document format, to Microsoft

DECLARATION OF SARAH S. BROOKS

3

1

9

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

STRADLING YOCCA CARLSON & RAUTH

Word documents. A blackline was then created which compared the changes made in the SAC to the FAC. A true and correct copy of the blackline created at my direction and control is attached hereto as Exhibit 6.

- 8. The FAC asserted three claims (fraud, intentional interference with prospective economic advantage, and misappropriation of trade secrets) against Sport Dimension only. The SAC added a second fraud claim, a breach of contract claim, a promissory estoppel claim, and a claim for breach of the implied covenant of good faith, and added Kurt Rios as a defendant on the fraud claims.
- 9. Attached hereto as Exhibit 7 is a true and correct copy of the Expert report of Thomas Neches which has been marked Highly Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only.
- 10. Attached hereto as Exhibit 8 is a true and correct copy of a document that has been produced in this litigation and bates labeled SDI 001235-SDI 001237.
- Attached hereto as Exhibit 9 is a true and correct copy of a document 11. that has been produced in this litigation and bates labeled SDI 001222-SDI 001230.
- Attached hereto as Exhibit 10 is a true and correct copy of a document 12. that has been produced in this litigation and bates labeled SDI 001203-SDI 001221.
- 13. Attached hereto as Exhibit 11 is a true and correct copy of a document that has been produced in this litigation and bates labeled SDI 001251.
- Attached hereto as Exhibit 12 is a true and correct copy of a document 14. that has been produced in this litigation and bates labeled SDI 001195.
- Attached hereto as Exhibit 13 is a true and correct copy of a document 15. that has been produced in this litigation and bates labeled T4KP000004-5.

- 16. Attached hereto as Exhibit 14 is a true and correct copy of a document that has been produced in this litigation and bates labeled SDI 015723-SDI 015726.
- 17. Attached hereto as Exhibit 15 is a true and correct copy of a document that has been produced in this litigation and bates labeled SDI 001248-SDI 001250.
- 18. Attached hereto as Exhibit 16 is a true and correct copy of a document that has been produced in this litigation and bates labeled T4KP000613-T4KP000616.
- 19. Attached hereto as Exhibit 17 is a true and correct copy of a document that has been produced in this litigation and bates labeled T4KP001234-T4KP0001236.
- 20. Attached hereto as Exhibit 18 is a true and correct copy of a document that has been produced in this litigation and bates labeled T4KP002111.
- 21. Attached hereto as Exhibit 19 is a true and correct copy of a document that has been produced in this litigation and bates labeled SDI 015734.
- 22. Attached hereto as Exhibit 20 is a true and correct copy of a document that has been produced in this litigation and bates labeled T4KP002080.
- 23. Attached hereto as Exhibit 21 is a true and correct copy of Sport Dimension, Inc.'s Responses to Tech-4-Kids, Inc.'s Second Set of Interrogatories, dated February 6, 2013.
- 24. Attached hereto as Exhibit 22 is a true and correct copy of a document that has been produced in this litigation and bates labeled T4KP-SMICK000001-T4KP-SMICK000002.
- 25. Attached hereto as Exhibit 23 is a true and correct copy of a document that has been produced in this litigation and bates labeled T4KP000667-T4KP000671.

STRADLING YOCCA
CARLSON & RAUTH
LAWYERS
NEWPORT BEACH